

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,464	09/05/2003	Glen S. Axelrod	TFH047	8440
32047 7	590 11/14/2005		EXAMINER	
GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC			COLE, ELIZABETH M	
	MMERICAL STREET R. NH 03101		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1771	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			25			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,464	AXELROD, GLEN	I S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ldress			
Period for Reply	V 10 05T TO EVDIDE . 1	AONTHION OR THIRTY (S	ON DAVE			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•—	is action is non-final.					
, _	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-14 and 16</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5, 8-14, 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
oce the attached detailed office detail for a no	t of the continue copies her	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Attachment(s)	🗖	0				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,464

Art Unit: 1771

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/29/05 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-14, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denesuk et al, U.S. Patent NO. 6,196,156 in view of Jordan, U.S. Patent No. 5,226,384. Denesuk et al discloses an article for use by pets comprising a core which may comprise foam or other types of fillers such as synthetic and natural fibers, (col. 10, lines 28-41)and a fabric cover. The fabric cover may comprise two different types of fabric and each type of fabric only partially covers the core. See col. 10, line 60 col. 11, line 7 and col. 11, lines 38-46 as well as example 4. Suitable fabrics include polyolefins, acetate, acrylic, nylons and polyesters. See col. 11, line 65 col. 12, line 4. The fabrics can be woven, non-woven or knitted. See col. 9, lines 31-43. Denesuk differs from the claimed invention because Denesuk does not teach that at least one of the fibers should be a high strength fiber. Jordan teaches that high strength fibers such

Application/Control Number: 10/656,464

Art Unit: 1771

as aramid fibers can be used in forming covers for articles used by pets. See abstract.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to have employed high strength fibers for parts of the cover of Denesuk which would be most exposed to wear and tear, biting, chewing,

etc., motivated by the expectation that this would enhance the durability of the bed.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/05 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. Applicant argues that Denesuk is not concerned with preventing tearing, but

rather with forming the material of a non-toxic material so that when it is torn it would not

harm the animal because Denesuk is not concerned with forming a durable pet bed

However, Denesuk clearly is concerned with forming a durable bed since Denesuk

teaches applying antimicrobial agents to the materials making up the beds in order to

guard again physical and chemical degradation of the materials in order to form an

article having a longer useful life. See col. 4, lines 1-8. Further, the non-toxic materials

referred to at col. 8 are not the fabric components of the bedding but rather the anti-

microbial coating which is applied to the fabric. Thus, Denesuk is not teaching forming

a bed for a pet to eat, but is rather teaching that when applying an anti-microbial

composition in order to enhance the useful life of the bed that is important for the anti-

microbial composition to be non-toxic since animals tends to chew on bedding articles.

The teachings of Denesuk are therefore readily combinable with the teachings of Jordan

of employing high strength fibers in forming covers for use by pets, since Jordan

teaches a way of further enhancing the useful lifetime of a pet bedding article by

employing high strength fabrics as covers. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,464

Art Unit: 1771

5. With regard to the 112 1st paragraph rejections set forth in the previous rejection,

Applicant's arguments are persuasive and that rejection is withdrawn.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771

e.m.c